## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SCRANTON

FRIMPONG FESTUS,

OCT 1 2 2017

Petitioner

Per\_\_

CIVIL NO. 3:CV-17-1056 DEPUTY CLERK

V.

CRAIG LOWE,

(Judge Conaboy)

Respondent

## **MEMO**

Frimpong Festus filed this pro se petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 while detained by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) at the Pike County Prison, Lords Valley, Pennsylvania, Named as Respondent is Warden Craig Lowe of the Pike County Prison. Service of the petition was previously ordered.

Davis identifies himself as being a native and citizen of Ghana who entered the United States on or about August 23, 2010 on a six month non-immigrant visa. On November 3, 2014, he was convicted of fraud related offenses in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. He was sentenced to a 46 month term of imprisonment.

An Immigration Judge ordered Festus' removal from the United States on or about July 20, 2015. Petitioner was taken into ICE custody on March 10, 2017 after completing his term of imprisonment.

Petitioner alleged that because there is no likelihood that he will be deported in the foreseeable future, his continued indefinite detention by the ICE pending completion of his removal proceedings was unconstitutional pursuant to the standards announced in Zadvydas v. Davis, 533 U.S. 678 (2001). As relief, Festus sought his immediate release.

## Discussion

On October 11, 2017, Respondent filed a "Suggestion of Mootness." Doc. 6, p. 1. The notice states that Petitioner was removed from the United States on July 26, 2017. <u>See id</u>.

Accordingly, Respondent contends that since Petitioner has been removed from the United States, dismissal on the basis of mootness is appropriate.

The case or controversy requirement of Article III, § 2 of the United States Constitution subsists through all stages of federal judicial proceedings. Parties must continue to have a "'personal stake in the outcome' of the lawsuit." <a href="Lewis v.">Lewis v.</a>
<a href="Continental Bank Corp.">Continental Bank Corp.</a>, 494 U.S. 472, 477-78 (1990); <a href="Preiser v.">Preiser v.</a>
<a href="Newkirk">Newkirk</a>, 422 U.S. 395, 401 (1975). In other words, throughout the course of the action, the aggrieved party must suffer or be threatened with actual injury caused by the defendant. <a href="Lewis">Lewis</a>, 494 U.S. at 477.

The adjudicatory power of a federal court depends upon "the continuing existence of a live and acute controversy." <u>Steffel</u> v. Thompson, 415 U.S. 452, 459 (1974) (emphasis in original).

"The rule in federal cases is that an actual controversy must be extant at all stages of review, not merely at the time the complaint is filed." Id. at n.10 (citations omitted). "Past exposure to illegal conduct is insufficient to sustain a present case or controversy ... if unaccompanied by continuing, present adverse effects." Rosenberg v. Meese, 622 F. Supp. 1451, 1462 (S.D.N.Y. 1985) (citing O'Shea v. Littleton, 414 U.S. 488, 495-96 (1974)); see also Gaeta v. Gerlinski, Civil No. 3:CV-02-465, slip op. at p. 2 (M.D. Pa. May 17, 2002) (Vanaskie, C.J.).

As relief, Festus sought his immediate release from ICE detention. Since a submitted copy (Doc. 6-1) of an executed warrant of removal clearly shows that Petitioner has been removed from the United States and is no longer in ICE custody, under the principles set forth in <a href="Steffel">Steffel</a>, his instant petition is subject to dismissal as moot since it no longer presents an existing case or controversy. An appropriate Order will enter.

RICHARD P. CONABOY

United States District/Judge

DATED: OCTOBER  $/\mathbb{Z}$ , 2017